



*Uplifting Fallen Humanity*  
[www.blogtalkradio.com/mhhs-eyeswideopen](http://www.blogtalkradio.com/mhhs-eyeswideopen)

**Tools You Will Need and MUST Have** to participate in the “*Sisters Standing On Law*” Radio Blog Talk at Moors Heritage ad History School “Eyes Wide Open” every 2nd Sunday from 1 p.m —3 p.m. Go to Chat Room: [www.blogtalkradio.com/mhhs-eyeswideopen](http://www.blogtalkradio.com/mhhs-eyeswideopen) or call **347 945-5899**

1. **Law Dictionary** : Black’s Law Dictionary 4th Edition and / or Bouvier’s
2. **Unabridged Dictionaries:** preferably before 1960’s or so.  
**Suggested:**
  - a. Oxford (note Oxford is typically utilized by scholars)
  - b. Webster’s is for general use.
  - c. Etymology Dictionary
3. **North America Republic Constitution FOR the United States of America** Organic Constitution: ‘7’Articles and 10 Bill of Rights (*referred to as the 10 Amendments*).

**Note:** The Constitution was established with 7 articles and 10 Bill of Rights. The Bill of Rights was actually an amendment at that time, prior to the final ratification. Therefore they are often referred to as the 10 Amendments, wherein their proper title is the ‘10 Bill of Rights’. Thus the organic constitution consists of 7 Articles and 10 Bill of Rights. Other amendments were added over the years by the usurpers with an agenda, however they are NOT a part of the organic constitution, of which you are bound to follow or enforce, **and**, most ALL of them are not ratified and are NOT valid. Thus, they are NOT talking about the same Constitution. This is why we make the distinction of the “organic constitution”, which has not changed. If they refuse to follow it, they have just quit their position and thus have ABSOLUTELY no authority or limited authority.

“The Constitution is a written instrument. As such, its meaning does not alter. That which it meant when it was adopted, it means now.”

**S. Carolina v. U.S., 199 U.S. 437, 448 (1905).**

“We are bound to interpret the Constitution in the light of the law as it existed at the time it was adopted.”

**Mattox v. U.S., 156 US 237, 243.**