In Regards to Correcting or Changing Your “Name”

The judicial or court method of changing one’s name is merely an “Affirmance” and aid of the Common Law” method of accomplishing the same thing.

Common Law consists of those archaic Principles, usages and Rules of Action applicable to Government and Security of Person and Property, which do not rest for their Authority upon any express and positive declaration of the ‘will’ of the Legislature. And so, the Common Law predates the contemporary Legislature – derived Laws. This should give one a better understanding about inalienable rights – being natural and first.

Therefore, the ‘court method’ of corroborating a ‘name correction and change’ is not to be assumed as being necessary nor superior, but merely a formalized method of confirming or acknowledging a person’s right to a name correction or change.

The judicial or court method of changing one’s name, is merely an affirmance and aid of the “Common law”. Thus, the common law, by superiority, establishes ‘supreme validation’, and suffices to serve Public Notice for Declaration of a Name Correction and Change.

Such a change carries the exact same legal weight as a court decreed name change as long as it is not done with fraudulent intent (In re McUlta 189 F. 250, Christianson v. King County 196 F. 791, United States v. McKay 2 F.2d 257).

One may be employed, do business, and enter into other contracts, and sue and be sued under any name they choose at will (Lindon v. First National Bank 10 F. 894, Coppage v. Kansas 236 U.S. 1, In re McUlta 189 F. 250).

The International Community also affirmed their Support in written documents as follows:

Article 2: Indigenous Individuals And Peoples Are Free And Equal To All Other Individuals And Peoples In Dignity And Rights, And Have The Right To Be Free From Any Kind Of Adverse Discrimination; In Particular That Based On Their Indigenous Origin Or Identity.

Part II - Article 8: Indigenous Peoples have the Collective and Individual Right to maintain and develop their distinct identities and characteristics including the right to identify themselves as Indigenous and to be recognized as such.

Part I, Article 5 Every Indigenous Individual Has The Right To A Nationality.

From The Declaration of Human Rights –United Nations General Assembly – December 10, 1948: Resolution #217:

Article 15:
1. Everyone has the right to a nationality
2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.

From The Declaration of the Rights of The Child – United Nations General Assembly – 1959:
Principle III: Every child shall be entitled, from his birth, to a name and a nationality.

Common Law is more akin to Rights Inalienable, which are embodied by ‘principles of birthright’ as opposed to legislation by statues issued from political bodies’ initiated
commerce contracts and revenue raising rules. Therefore having a card issued under a statutory act places you under the jurisdiction of statutory law of a corporate entity as a corporate member/citizen of same.

One who domiciles in the American Territories (organic Land) or who desires to correct and change his or her name, may do so without ever making an application to any court or needing a court’s authorization. This law fact must be made clear to all peoples, particularly those oppressed people, who have by design been presumed to be negroes, coloreds and blacks, which are coins placed upon them, of which, they have in the past accepted. However, the Law of the Land and the governing principals DO NOT protect such brands, as they are not of the human family, they are fictions created on paper, via certificates, of which all certificates imply a sale. Those instruments then are in fact, and in law, instruments of human trafficking and wardship tenures. In other words being under the jurisdiction of a corporate state that issued corporate instruments, birth certificates, identification cards, etc. is being under a quasi-national domicile or municipal domicile and Moors are of a National domicile, therefore a State corporation CANNOT issue an identification to you. A corporation (corporate state) can only issue instruments to corporate entities. Those who are of a corporate state’s jurisdiction are then, and of course, wards of that said state, therefore are not protected as ‘natural people’ affording any rights to be acknowledged.

Thus one who domiciles in the American Territories as a National, and corrects his name, his chosen name will be just as legal and true, providing the purpose behind the change is in no way illegal, criminal or fraudulent; and is not occasioned by an intent to avoid a legitimate obligation to interfere with the rights of others.

Nationalization, as a Social and Political Act, initiated by the Sovereigns of the Land, and is a universally recognized, and self-defense - instituted ‘political right’. Nationalization Rites may be authoritatively – initiated and made public notice by the legitimate and true Nationals of the Land, Political State, or Country. Such a broad – based political and social ‘saving -power’ and measure, is effectuated through the Natural Peoples’ specifically - identified and legitimate National Representative Government. In the law of evidence, identity of the National(s) must be affirmed and proven in such matters.

In Regards To What An Identification Card Is

**DEFINITION OF I.D. CARD:** A card that gives identifying information such as name, age, and organizational membership, about a person who then carries the card and uses it to establish his or her identity, also called "identity card." -- Tormont's Websters Illustrated Encyclopedic Dictionary, 1987.

In the Codes of Federal Regulations in reference to identification it states that a document must contain sufficient information to identify the applicant, including the applicant’s name and the applicants age, date of birth, OR parents’ name(s), especially the mother, and or a photograph or physical description. A birth record IS NOT sufficient evidence to establish identity for these purposes. A birth certificate is bond, an instrument proving human bondage and trafficking, transferring flesh and blood beings into corporate fictions, therefore is a party to de-nationalizing a flesh and blood being.
In Regards to Alleged Charges of ‘Identity Theft’?

If you are harassed and violated and allegedly charged with alleged “Identity Theft’, as some of these unlawful tribunals and quasi-national, municipal domiciles and their private employees and hired mercenaries are perpetrating at this time. Please note that there must be a person of which you have stolen identity from and the person must present themselves as the injured party to which you have stolen identity from. The transmitting utility name issued on the birth certificate IS NOT a flesh and blood being, and if it is presumed by them to be you, then how is it possible to commit “Identity Theft” just because you corrected or changed the name, which is perfectly lawful and legal? The birth certificate construct and artificial beings is what they are dealing with as human property, chattel property for the corporation and a member / citizen of the corporation as that is all that a corporation can deal with. In reality this is direct proof that these procedures are proof of a sick mind and are absolutely absurdity. Pursuant to the Law of the Land — the Constitution, authorized by the People FOR the occupying United States of America (Union States), wherein that same constitution protects you, the natural people from being molested from them, from other citizens, from anyone, provided YOU enforce it, particularly against those who violate it against you. In Amendment V, it states that …’no one can be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation…Your Nationality cards are your private property!

We can fix this if we would just get to thinking!

The Following Is a Public Announcement:

NOTICE TO ALL LAW ENFORCEMENT:

[NATIONALS OR CITIZENS]
ARE NOT REQUIRED TO SHOW IDENTIFICATION TO A POLICE OFFICER

The Police Officer swears and or Oaths upon the American Constitution as an Officer of Law. Supreme Court Decisions are recognitions of Res Judicata, which exist already as Secured Rights that cannot be liened upon, therefore are inalienable / unalienable.

SUPREME COURT CASE:

Kolender v. Lawson (461 U.S. 352, 1983) in which the United States Supreme Court ruled that a police officer could not arrest a citizen merely for refusing to present identification.

There is no such thing as “FAILURE TO IDENTIFY”. You can Sue the Police for an illegal Arrest. Showing identification is a courtesy and they (police officers) CANNOT determine if your ID is valid or not, as they usually predicate the validity of an identification on whether or not it was issued by the corporation they work for. However corporations CANNOT issue lawful identification to a flesh and blood natural being. Therefore Declaring your status is to be clear about these matters.

The courts are not bound by an officers interpretation of the law under which he presumes to act.

Hoffsomer v. Hayes, 92 Okla 32, 227 F. 417
The following information is necessary to address the alike amongst us – Moors conscious or unconscious, who know not what they do!

Important Information Regarding Moors Universal Allodial National Identification Card

Please note that what substantiates an identification card is the inclusion of one’s Nationality. Thus a nationality card and a proper identification card are ONE IN THE SAME!!!. Any other card could be a membership card, library card, drivers license, (which is a membership card), club association card, etc., of which none of them necessarily require a nationality on it. We must know the difference between a nationality card, membership card, identification card and any combination of same. Any corporate state’s identification does not include a nationality because they are quasi-national domiciles, thus do not have the jurisdiction (right to speak or issue) anything to natural flesh and blood beings or national matters. **For example:** the discussion of making the ‘drivers license instrument’ an identification card is a mute one because it itself is a membership card indicating you are an associate or member of the private Division of Motor Vehicles. The Division of Motor Vehicles is a corporate entity constructed under the corporate State’s jurisdiction and they are forbidden to abrogate / violate ANY of the people’s liberties.

“No state shall convert a liberty into a privilege, license it, and attach a fee to it.” **Murdock v. Penn., 319 US 105**

“If the state converts a liberty into a privilege, the citizen can engage in the right with impunity.” **Shuttlesworth v. Birmingham, 373 US 262**

A right which is free and open to all is not the subject of a license or tax. **Chicago v Collins, 51 NE 907; Freeburg v Dawson 274 F 240.**

The Division of Motor Vehicles is NOT a government entity and the license instrument is not necessary for one to travel upon the highways and by-ways. If the DMV were a government entity, still the license instrument is not necessary for one to travel upon the highways. The DMV is a private corporation, an enclave of it’s respective state and corporations have no parity with the real, with the flesh and blood natural being, other than to follow the Law of the Land, which is established to protect, preserve and secure the liberties and rights of the natural being. Any corporation can ONLY issue to members / citizens of it. Therefore the driver instrument is NOT an identification card.

DO NOT be FOOLED by the recent and new U.S. National citizenship choice, as that is also established for those UNDER the jurisdiction of the corporate state and is a trick to get people who are beginning to think along the lines of national principles, to come under it, just because it has the word national in it. Anything a corporation issues is for the corporation’s employees / servants, members / citizens and that is not you. The drivers instrument is for commerce and commercial use in regards to one who is ‘driving’ or a ‘driver’, as distinguished from a Traveler, of which natural people have the absolute and substantive Right and Liberty to Travel as it is their Personal liberty without the corporate state’s drivers license, tags, registration, insurance, etc.

**ALSO PLEASE NOTE** that a Nationality Card **DOES NOT** bring you your Nationality!! Nationality is bestowed upon you from birth from your mother. Any thing in written form is a brief expressing your position regarding a matter and that would include the card itself, as a brief, a claim.
As for the Temples established by Prophet Noble Drew Ali: The Temple is a Component of the “Moors Divine and National Movement of the World”. It is NOT THE MOVEMENT!! The Movement is the people and their works. Any Officer, Grand Sheik, Assistant Grand Sheik, etc., in the Temple is required to know and enforce the Law of the Land. A membership card was/is issued by the various Temple institutions, which includes one’s nationality on it, therefore can be utilized as an identification card. Anyone who is ACTIVE (and not PASSIVE) with the Principles the Prophet brought, whether in a Temple or not, is working under the already existing umbrella “The Moors Divine and National Movement of the World”. There is NO need to start any other ‘Movement’, just as there is ABSOLUTELY NO need to start any other government, as for one, the people are the government and any government entity established is operating upon the illustrious estate that the Prophet spoke about as the Moors ‘illustrious and vast estate’, of which they WILL gain back their heritage and estate in a conflict that cannot be told in words. (Creation and Fall of Man). Anyone who advocates starting a new government is actually and lawfully abandoning their own estate. Anyone who does not over-stand this, is limited and you must be “careful Moors, some of your own, wearing Turbans and Fezzes (or not) will put you back into slavery.” The saddest part and worse condition is that most of them don’t even recognize they are doing so, as they are usually NOT studied well enough, or their (personal) agendas blind them. We are all agents, however we are either for or against the principals of the moving activity and the raising of fallen humanity. The Prophet is a UNIVERSAL Prophet, and he himself said he was a universal Prophet, yet people keep trying to own him. In his “Plea To The Nations” he addressed EVERYONE!!

1. All Loyal and Faithful Moors (whether in Temple or not)
2. All Members ('Members' of the Temple)
3. All True American 'citizens' (those who may or may not be Moors by jus sanguine, or may or may not be members in the Temple).

Anyone who attempts to make divisions in regards to the Prophets works is one who makes divisions amongst their own and others. Anyone who does not comprehend his work needs to study a little bit longer before stepping out in this electrifying age of information, as often their words and deeds cause CONFUSION!!!! One of the more stifling confusions is for one to say you are NOT a Moor unless you come through a Temple -- that is NOT true at All! Question #14 out of the “101 Questionnaire” written by the Prophet, commonly issued by a Temple, states you are a Moor because you are a descendant of Moroccans born in America; not because you are or are not a member of any Temple or any club, association, etc. It may be your choice to remain in a slave mentality, but the Prophet's mission is to assist you to come out of it. Clearly you are who you are by birthright and primogeniture. It is up to you to acknowledge it, recognize it, Declare it and proclaim it, or not!! No one, but no one, can do that for you. You must be Clear – Declare.

**ISLAMI!: I Self Law Am Master**
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