

From The Records of R.V. Bey Publications – Notes of the Sojourn

Quasi-Criminal Courts?

The following is a part to information submitted to a Court, the Clerk and the Judge / Magistrate after the Judge / Magistrate said for the record that the Court was a **Quasi-Criminal Court**.

This information is certainly what you ought know, what you do with it, is another issue and is up to you, The fact that the people have not known this, and /or have not enforced it, as law, holds a great deal of, if not all of, the problem for the people. As for the officers of the court, and federal employees, and private policy enforcers, this is evidence that they are violators of the Supreme Law of The Land, are OUT-OF-ORDER, and are Tort-Feasors, which means wrong-doers, as well, they are warring against the people, which is TREASON. (See PDF 'Reason For Treason' on this same page – Drivers License Fraud)

Judge/ Magistrate, (judge name) also stated for the Record that the Court is acting as a **Quasi Criminal Court**. If this is a Criminal Matter, then there must be an accusing party of injury, that I am to make remedy to, of *which*, the State of (**whatever State**), and its chapters, charters, sub-chapters, and sub-charters, et al, *ie*. **WHATAEVER MUNICIPAL COURT**, is not, and cannot be an injured party.

If this is a Criminal Matter, there must exist an injured party, of which I would be obligated to make remedy to. **If this is a Civil Matter**, there must be an injured party, or property, even unto a preponderance of evidence. **If this is an Administrative Court** (Traffic Court) as well, there must be an injured party as defined in the established Rule of Law, submitted in Exhibit A: **Board of Trade v. Olson, 262 US 1; 29 ALR 2d 105.**

If this Court is registered as a “Traffic Court”, it is in fact a Quasi Court as (**judge name**) stated verbally for the record. As a Traffic Court, it is a State Agency, operating under Statutes, Policies and Ordinances. Such Courts have no Judicial Authority. *See: ASIS v. US, 568 F2d 284.* (Also submitted in Exhibit A).

As a Quasi-Criminal Court, the Court has mere appearance or want of reality. In legal phraseology it indicates that one subject resembles another, with which it is compared, in certain characteristics, but that there are intrinsic and material differences between them. Of which, is all the more lawful grounds to produce, for the record, the physically documented and delegated proof of Jurisdiction over Person(s) and Subject matter(s).

The Lawful definition of a Competent Court is: A court, either civil or criminal, having lawful jurisdiction.

In regard to courts of inferior jurisdiction, “if the record does not show upon its face the facts necessary to give jurisdiction, they will be presumed not to have existed.”

Norman v. Zieber, 3 Or at 202-03

If any Tribunal (court) finds absence of proof of jurisdiction over a person and subject matter, the case must be dismissed. **Louisville v. Motley 2111 US 149, 29S. CT 42.** “The Accuser Bears the Burden of Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt”.

It is reasonably and lawfully Demanded and expected that the CITY OF **WHATAEVER** MUNICIPAL COURT Abate these proceedings of Collusion, Color-Of-Law, and Color-Of-Authority. These Exhibits are evidence and are marked appropriately as Affidavits of Fact, which by Law, must be reviewed and answered based on its contents. It is within My Right as a Citizen to expect so upon submission, and it is the Oathbound Duty of the Officers of the Court to respond in kind lawfully. However, Judge / Magistrate, **(judge name)** appears to refuse His duty, and the Courts duty to do so, all being Trustees of the United States Constitution, who have upon prima facie evidence, usurped their Oathbound obligations to it. These Exhibits (A, B and C) are being forwarded to the Supreme Court for your violations of Law.

US Supreme Court in US vs. Minker, 350 US 179 at page 187: "*Because of what appears to be a lawful command on the surface, many citizens, because of their respect for what only appears to be a law, are cunningly coerced into waiving their rights, due to ignorance.*"

